

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,299	02/26/2004	Brent A. McClure	M4065.0984/P984 3439	
24998 7	7590 04/18/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			LEE, CALVIN	
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 ,			2818	
		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,299	MCCLURE, BRENT A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lee, Calvin	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ma	arch 2005					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 March 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·—						
·— · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-38 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-21</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>11-21</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		4.00				
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/25/04</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:					

Page 2 Brent A. McCLURE

Application No: 10/786,299 Docket: M4065.0984/P984

OFFICE ACTION

Response to Election

1. The election of claims 1-21, dated March 4, 2005, is acknowledged. Therefore, claims 22-38 are withdrawn for further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by *Rhodes*.
- a) Rhodes (US 2005/0023553) discloses a method of forming a contact in a pixel sensor cell -depositing a passivation layer over a substrate having pixel components [¶ 0064];
- -forming a slot or hole in the passivation layer in an area over a charge collection region of said pixel sensor cell;
- -implanting a dopant at an angle relative to sidewalls of the slot through said slot into the charge collection region 326, wherein the dopant is implanted into the substrate at an energy of about 1KeV to 100 KeV [¶ 0061] at an angle of about 0 to 30° [¶ 0059] relative to the sidewalls; -and forming a contact 32 within the slot [Figs. 15-16 and ¶ 0071].
- b) In re claim 9, *Rhodes* indirectly suggests the dopant 188 being implanted at an implant depth of about 10 to 3000Å, which is the thickness of the insulating layer 121 [Fig. 9 and ¶ 0046].

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3
Brent A. McCLURE

Application No: 10/786,299 Docket: M4065.0984/P984

- 5. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Rhodes*.
- a) In re claims 2 and 3, *Rhodes* suggests the slot having a circle shape [Figs. 14-15] but not an oblong (or elliptical) shape.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the claimed slot shape, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a hole or slot. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

b) In re claim 4, *Rhodes* discloses a slot or hole in a passivation layer [¶ 0064] but is silent about an aspect ratio having a range of about 10:1 to 5:1.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the claimed aspect ratio, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a hole or slot. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 11-21 are allowed because the reference Rhode does not teaches forming a blocking layer extending over a portion of a charge collection region of a pixel sensor cell.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Lee at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner David Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

CL

April 8, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2809